

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
NORTHERN DIVISION

BENJAMIN BEDOGWAR)	
ORYANG, # 168 079,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	CASE NO. 2:21-CV-23-WKW
)	[WO]
ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF)	
CORRECTIONS, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER

On November 18, 2021, the Magistrate Judge entered a Supplemental Recommendation on Plaintiff’s motion for a preliminary injunction. (Doc. # 108.) On December 7, 2021, the court adopted the Supplemental Recommendation after no objections were filed. (Doc. # 110.) Later the same day, Plaintiff’s objections to the Supplemental Recommendation were received and docketed. (Doc. # 113.) The objections are timely.¹

Upon *de novo* review of those portions of the Supplemental Recommendation to which Plaintiff objects, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 636, it is ORDERED that the objections

¹ “Under the prison mailbox rule, a *pro se* prisoner’s court filing is deemed filed on the date it is delivered to prison authorities for mailing. Absent evidence to the contrary, [courts] assume that the prisoner’s filing was delivered to prison authorities the day he signed it.” *Daker v. Comm’r, Ga. Dep’t of Corrs.*, 820 F.3d 1278, 1286 (11th Cir. 2016) (cleaned up).

(Doc. # 113) are OVERRULED and that the December 7, 2021 Order (Doc. # 110) is REAFFIRMED.

DONE this 16th day of December, 2021.

/s/ W. Keith Watkins
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE